

## INEDU - INCLUSIVE EDUCATION MODEL FOR CHILDREN WITH MIGRANT BACKGROUND IN PRE-SCHOOLS

## GUIDELINES FOR FOCUS GROUP ORGANISATION



Co-funded by the Pr Erasmus+ Programme Ef of the European Union

Project No. 580452-EPP-1-2016-1-PL-EPPKA3-IPI-SOC-IN ERASMUS + Programme, Action 3 Initiatives for policy innovation - Social inclusion through education, training and youth



## FOCUS GROUP ACTIVITY – CHECK LIST





Co-funded by the Pr Erasmus+ Programme ER of the European Union





# WHAT IS A FOCUS GROUP?

### DEFINITION AND OBJECTIVES OF THE FOCUS GROUP



Co-funded by the Pr Erasmus+ Programme EF of the European Union

Project No. 580452-EPP-1-2016-1-PL-EPPKA3-IPI-SOC-IN ERASMUS + Programme, Action 3 Initiatives for policy innovation - Social inclusion through education, training and youth



# FOCUS GROUP 1/2

 Technique used for an indepth investigation of key-issues concerning a given topic/context/community /etc



#### \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

Co-funded by the Project No. 580452-EPP-1-2016-1-PL-EPPKA3-IPI-SOC-IN Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union Initiatives for policy innovation - Social inclusion through education, training and youth

### **OBJECTIVES**

• Gain an in-depth knowldge on the perception of the group on a given topic/issue.

### WHEN TO USE IT

 In advanced phases of the particpatory meeting/research, so to further investigate on issues raised during the brainstorming.





THE GROUP

• Small (6-10 persons) allowing for an in-depth debate.

## THE FACILITATOR

- Has an active role direct questions, coordinates the debate.
- May be supported by a note taker.



Co-funded by the Pro Erasmus+ Programme ERA of the European Union





# HOW TO ORGANISE A FOCUS GROUP?

### THE LOGISTICS OF THE FOCUS GROUP



Co-funded by the Pr Erasmus+ Programme EF of the European Union

Project No. 580452-EPP-1-2016-1-PL-EPPKA3-IPI-SOC-IN ERASMUS + Programme, Action 3 Initiatives for policy innovation - Social inclusion through education, training and youth



## PREPARING A FOCUS GROUP

• A working table – big enough to

contain all necessary materials for the

debate (i.e. Flip-chart, posters on

which to trace the ideas/strategies

emerging during the focus group).



• Silent, spatious room.





• Post-it, marker pens, posters

flip-chart.

ATMOSPHERE



 Professional – so to encourage indepth debates.



Co-funded by the Proje Erasmus+ Programme ERAS of the European Union



## PHASES OF THE FOCUS GROUP

### **PHASE 1- INTRODUCTION**

- The aim and methods of the focus (FG) explained group are to participants:
  - INEDU project brief introduction;
  - The FG sessions will be facilitated by an expert.

#### PHASE 2 – STRUCTURED DEBATE

- The FG debates are organised in Sessions;
- For each session the facilitator guides the debates, starting from the given list of issues/questions.

## PHASE 3 – FEEDBACK

- The results of the debate are voiced by the facilitator, so to obtain a "formal" agreement of the group.
- If there are parallel working groups, a plenary feedback session must be developed – preferably, each group elects a representative that presents the result in the plenary session.



Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union



Focus Groups



# **INEDU FOCUS GROUP**

### RESEARCH OVERALL FRAMEWORK, STAKEHOLDERS AND STRUCTURE



Co-funded by the Programme Erasmus+ Programme Ini

Project No. 580452-EPP-1-2016-1-PL-EPPKA3-IPI-SOC-IN ERASMUS + Programme, Action 3 Initiatives for policy innovation - Social inclusion through education, training and youth



## THE FOCUS GROUP IN THE RESEARCH FRAMEWORK



### PHASE 1 – ADAPTED SYSTEMS

Focused on data collection/case-study collection from 3 "adapted" systems (i.e. UK, Sweden, Italy) analysed in terms of:

- overall characteristics of the process that led to the current system's adaptation/version;
- identified success factors of the process, main obstacles to the process .

### PHASE 2 – TOWARDS ADAPTIVE MODELS

Data collection tools for the other partner countries: PL, MK, CZ, EE, RO, by means of desk-research & Focus Group, so to identify:

- main obstacles currently faced;
- main potentialities and possible actions that could be implemented so to adapt the education systems to a sustainable integration of children with migrant background.



Co-funded by the Proj Erasmus+ Programme ERA of the European Union



## THE STAKEHOLDERS

#### **NUMBERS**

- The number of stakeholders attending to a Focus Group Session should be 6 to 10.
- If the number of stakeholders exceeds this limit, parallel sessions of Focus Group, should be organised.

#### CATEGORIES

• The stakeholders invited to the Focus Group should represent all/most of the following categories :

#### **CENTRAL AUTHORITIES**

• Rep. of: Ministries/Departments that are active in the fields of education, culture, social inclusion/welfare

#### LOCAL AUTHORITIES

• Preferably councillors/head of departments/practitioners that are directly involved in the following sectors: education, social inclusion and welfare, integration issues, relations with minorities

#### **REP. OF EDUCATION INSTITUTIONS**

• Head masters, educators, teachers, other educational support staff such as cultural mediators, school psychologist, etc

#### **ASSOCIATIONS/NGOS**

• Active in the field of culture, education, integration/social (e.g. cultural mediators, interpreters, inclusion, etc volunteering associations, etc)

#### **PARENTS/FAMILIES**

Mainstream or minorities groups

#### **OTHER EXPERTS WITH RELEVANT EXPERIENCE**

• Lawyers, psychologists, etc



## **GENERAL INDICATIONS**

- The overall duration of the FG should be between 2h - 2.30h
- INEDU Focus Group will be structured in 5 sessions.
- Organizers may choose to use the Ppt material prepared by ISIG, for each session – in this case the Ppts have to be translated by the partner in the national language.
- The following paragraphs will guide the organisers/facilitators through all the FG sessions, in terms of main topic/question, and actions to be implemented. However, facilitators should animate/encourage the debates by formulating as well spontaneous questions.

- All information/ideas emerging during the sessions should be collected, ideally by a **note-tacker** (i.e. silent expert that takes notes on everything that is being discussed, that does not have an active role in the debates).
- After collecting the data by means of the FG, partners have to elaborate a report and send it to ISIG and the LP (*i.e. see* section on "Reporting" of the Guidelines).



Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union



# THE FG SESSIONS 1/2

#### INTRODUCTION (15 - 20 min)

- The organisers present briefly to participants the INEDU Project.
- Tour de table the organisers invite stakeholders to ٠ briefly present them selves the and organisation/instance that they represent.

#### SESSION I (15 min)

- The facilitator introduces the first question of the FG (i.e. "What is the main target group you believe to be in need of inclusive education models?") and animates a brief brainstorming.
- At the end of the session the target groups must be ٠ defined and agreed upon by all participants.

#### SESSION II (15 - 20 min)

- The facilitator introduces the next session (*i.e.* "What are the challenges/obstacles to the integration of children with miarant background/identified target group?"), and animates a brainstorming.
- It is recommended to keep track of the ideas, by • taking notes on post-it (i.e. this can be done either by participants themselves, within an initial "silent brainstorming" or by the facilitators).
- The ideas resulted from the brainstorming should be grouped in thematic clusters, by participants.
- Participants are encouraged to give titles to the ٠ clusters, as well as to priorities among the identified thematic areas (i.e. Which area is in need of urgent/immediate intervention?)



Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union



# THE FG SESSIONS 2/3

#### SESSION III (20 min)

- The facilitator introduces the 3<sup>rd</sup> question (i.e. "What should be done so to overcome these challenges?") and animates a brainstorming/debate.
- When ideas are collected (i.e. on post-its) participants are asked to place their post-its on the following graph, where the horizontal axis represents the typology of solution (more action oriented or more strategy oriented?) and the vertical axis the level at which solution could be applied (from local to EU level). Ideally, the graph should be made available on a poster.
- Participants are asked to move post-its (also of the other participants) until they achieve an agreed distribution on the graph.



Co-funded by the mus+ Programme e European Union Project No. 580452-EPP-1-2016-1-PL-EPPKA3-IPI-SOC-IN ERASMUS + Programme, Action 3 Initiatives for policy innovation - Social inclusion through education, training and youth





# THE FG SESSIONS 3/3

#### SESSION IV (30 - 40 min)

- The facilitator introduces the 4<sup>th</sup> question (i.e. "For the above proposed ideas at local level, what are the strengths of the system that are/can be engaged for the integration of children with migrant background/identified target group?") and animates a brainstorming/debate.
- The debate is focused on the lower (i.e. actions and strategies at the local regional level) layer of the graph.
- During the session, the facilitator should encourage the participants to indicate as well **the actors that could take charge of the identified actions and/or strategies at local level** (e.g. local authorities, associations, pre-schools, etc).



Co-funded by the Pi Erasmus+ Programme <sup>EI</sup> of the European Union





# REPORTING 1/2

Guidelines on how to report the data collected within the Focus Group



Co-funded by the Pr Erasmus+ Programme EF of the European Union

Project No. 580452-EPP-1-2016-1-PL-EPPKA3-IPI-SOC-IN ERASMUS + Programme, Action 3 Initiatives for policy innovation - Social inclusion through education, training and youth



## PHASES OF REPORTING – DELIVERABLES OF THE FG

# 1. Preliminary Reporting (within 1-2 weeks from the Focus Group):

- Partners are requested to send to P2

   ISIG a preliminary report (in English), consisting in the rough collected data during the Focus Group;
- In the following paragraphs/pages, indications are given for the structuring of this preliminary reporting phase;
- When complete, the template (see next session) may be sent to P2-ISIG and the LP, in the form of independent PowerPoint Presentation.

# 2. Final Reporting (max 3-4 weeks from the Focus Group )

• The template for the Final Report will be provided to partners, at a later stage (i.e. following the review of the Preliminary Report by the P2 - ISIG).





Co-funded by the Project No. 580 Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union Initiatives for poeducation, train





# REPORTING 2/2

### Template for the Preliminary Report of the Focus Group



Co-funded by the Pr Erasmus+ Programme Eff of the European Union

Project No. 580452-EPP-1-2016-1-PL-EPPKA3-IPI-SOC-IN ERASMUS + Programme, Action 3 Initiatives for policy innovation - Social inclusion through education, training and youth



## STAKEHOLDERS

- Please list the stakeholders attending the Focus Group
- Please describe each stakeholder (i.e. organisation/institution)
- •



Co-funded by the Pr Erasmus+ Programme EF of the European Union



# SESSION 1 – TARGET GROUPS

- Please list below the main ideas resulted from the brainstorming.
- What were the main target groups identified?



Co-funded by the Pr Erasmus+ Programme EF of the European Union



# SESSION 2 – CHALLENGES/OBSTACLES

- Please list below the main ideas resulted from the brainstorming.
- What thematic clusters/areas have been identified?
- What were the elements included in each cluster?
- What did participants highlighted in terms of priorities? Were there any different priorities in terms of "challenges to be tackled"?
- Have there been ideas that could not be inserted in neither of the clusters?
- Other details





# SESSION 3 – SOLUTIONS/INTERVENTIONS

- Please list below the main highlights of the session, by specifying the following:
  - Actions identified @EU level / @central level/ @local level
  - Strategies identified @ EU level/ @Central level/ @local level
- Other details from the debate





## SESSION 4 – SOLUTIONS/INTERVENTIONS AT LOCAL LEVEL

- Please list below the main highlights of the session, by specifying the following:
  - Main identified strengths/resources/potentialities of the system, that may contribute at the implementation of the identified actions and strategies at local level.
  - Main actors that have been identified as potentially responsible for the implementation of the identified actions and strategies at local level.
- Other details from the debate





## INEDU - INCLUSIVE EDUCATION MODEL FOR CHILDREN WITH MIGRANT BACKGROUND IN PRE-SCHOOLS

## GUIDELINES FOR FOCUS GROUP ORGANISATION



Co-funded by the Pr Erasmus+ Programme Ef of the European Union

Project No. 580452-EPP-1-2016-1-PL-EPPKA3-IPI-SOC-IN ERASMUS + Programme, Action 3 Initiatives for policy innovation - Social inclusion through education, training and youth